### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 442 746 SP 039 269

TITLE A Strategic Action Plan for Building Oklahoma's Future.

Enacting the NCTAF Recommendations.

INSTITUTION Oklahoma State Commission for Teacher Preparation.

PUB DATE 1999-09-00

NOTE 61p.; For related document on teaching in Oklahoma, see SP

039 270.

AVAILABLE FROM Oklahoma Commission for Teacher Preparation, 3033 North

Walnut, Suite 220E, Oklahoma City, OK 73105. Tel:

405-525-2612.

PUB TYPE Legal/Legislative/Regulatory Materials (090)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Academic Standards; Accreditation (Institutions);

Administrators; \*Educational Quality; Elementary Secondary Education; Faculty Development; Higher Education; Knowledge Base for Teaching; National Standards; Preservice Teacher Education; Professional Development Schools; \*State

Education; Professional Development Schools; \*State Standards; Teacher Certification; \*Teacher Competencies;

Teacher Qualifications; Teacher Recruitment

IDENTIFIERS Oklahoma

### ABSTRACT

This booklet presents a plan for improving Oklahoma's K-12 education. Section 1, "Getting Serious about Standards for Both Students and Teachers," includes: developing high quality student standards linked to high quality teacher standards; establishing state professional standards boards; professionally accrediting all schools of education; closing inadequate schools of education; licensing teachers based on demonstrated performance; and using National Board standards. Section 2, "Reinvent Teacher Preparation and Professional Development, "discusses: organizing professional education and development around student and teacher standards; instituting extended teacher preparation programs; creating and funding mentoring programs for beginning teachers; and creating stable, high quality sources of professional development. Section 3, "Overhaul Teacher Recruitment and Put Qualified Teachers in Every Classroom, "discusses: helping financially disadvantaged districts pay for qualified teachers; aggressively recruiting high need teachers; redesigning district hiring; and eliminating barriers to mobility. Section 4, "Encourage and Reward Knowledge and Skills," discusses: developing a career continuum linked to assessments and compensation that rewards competencies; removing incompetent teachers via peer systems; and setting goals/enacting incentives for National Board certification. Section 5, "Create Schools that are Organized for Student and Teacher Success," discusses: flattening hierarchies and reallocating resources; providing venture capital in the form of challenge grants; and selecting, preparing, and retaining appropriate principals. (SM)



Age.



**Enacting the NCTAF Recommendations** 

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

B. Wase

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

**BEST COPY AVAILABLE** 

### A Strategic Action Plan for Building

### Oklahoma's Future

September 1999

compiled by the

Oklahoma Policy Group

of the

**National Commission** 

on

Teaching and America's Future

For further information, please contact:
Dr. Barbara Ware, Chair
Oklahoma Commission for Teacher Preparation
3033 N. Walnut, Suite 220E
Oklahoma City
73105
(405) 525-2612



### **Table of Contents**

Okl	ahoma at a Glance	1
I.	Getting Serious about Standards for both Students and Teachers	2
II.	Reinvent Teacher Preparation and Professional Development	8
III.	Overhaul Teacher Recruitment and Put Qualified Teachers in Every Classroom	14
IV.	Encourage and Reward Knowledge and Skill	19
IV.	Create Schools that are Organized for Student Success	22
Res	oonsible Party Worksheet	25

This publication, printed in September 1999 by QuikPrint, is issued by the Oklahoma Commission for Teacher Preparation. 200 copies have been prepared at a cost of \$538.00. Copies have been deposited with the Publications Clearinghouse of the Oklahoma Department of Libraries.



### OKLAHOMA POLICY GROUP

Secretary of Education (Office of the Governor) Floyd Coppedge

Superintendent of Public Instruction Sandy Garrett

Oklahoma Commission for Teacher Preparation Barbara Ware, Chair
Terry Almon, past Chair

Carol S. Cawyer, Director Program Accreditation

Office of Accountability Robert Buswell

Oklahoma State Legislature Sen. Penny Williams, Chair

Education Committee

Kim Brown, Amanda Paliotta,

Pat Crown, Debbie Terlip,

legislative staff

Oklahoma State Regents for Higher Education Debra Stuart, Associate

Vice Chancellor

Oklahoma State Department of Vocational
Juanita Bice, Coordinator,

Technical Education Professional Development

Oklahoma Education Association Lela Odom, Associate

Executive Director

Oklahoma State School Board Association Bob Mooneyham,

Executive Director, Julie Vogt, staff attorney

Oklahoma Congress of Parents and Teachers Patty Neuwith, President

Cooperative Council for Oklahoma School Randall Raburn, Executive
Administrators Director, Sandy Wisley,

Associate Director

The State Chamber Karen Leveridge, Director

Work Force Development

Oklahoma Institutions of Higher Education Darlene Abram, Langston

Mark Clark, NEOSU
Barbara Decker, SEOSU
Sherry Sexton, OCU
Joan Smith, OU
Pamela Fly, UCO

Oklahoma Minority Teacher Recruitment Center Armando Pena, Director

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

# Oklahoma's Future in the 21st Century A Strategic Action Plan for Building

Insuring every student in Oklahoma access to competent, caring and qualified teachers.

# Oklahoma At A Glance

Oklahoma Population: 3,299,150

Students by Ethnic Group: Native American 15% Hispanic 4%

Student Average Membership: 618,358.08

Black 11% Asian -1%

Students by Parental Education Attainment:

Caucasian 59%

College Degree 23% Some College 22%

High School Diploma 30% Less than High School Diploma 25%

> Number of school districts: 543 Number of school sites: 1823

Number of classroom teachers: 43,347.679

Commission for

National

Teaching and

America's

Future

Pupils per Instructor: 14.3 Average teacher salary: \$30,369

Number of school administrators: 3,036 Average administrator's salary: \$48,109

Total expenditures K-12 education: \$2,496,625,900 Per Pupil Expenditure: \$4,192 (ranked 48<sup>th</sup>) High School Graduation rate: 72.9% Household income (average): \$19,574 Percent of population below poverty level: 25% Per student valuation of property: \$21,438 Unemployment rate (1997): 4.1% Number of teacher education program: 20

ထ

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



### Revised, 09/28/99

1999 Priority Academic Student Skills curriculum standards in 1993, has been revised to clarify and provide more specific content guidelines. It has been benchmarked against the following:     national content standards NAEP framework Other state standards Recognized standards Recognized standards (McREL/ASCD)     Levels of performance have been established in writing, been established in writing, but.      1997 – (HB 2017) Reading Students in need of seases remediation are provided monitor and test students      1998 Priority Academic PASS curriculum standards and implemented by teachers. Oklah to nature standards standards seases are standards      Revisions to PASS curriculum standards standards standards assessment in reading and implemented by teachers. Oklah standards assessment in reading although many districts do remediation are provided      The Gap:      A. Conding A. Conding analytical analytication are provided and implemented by teachers. Oklah analytical and implemented and implemented by teachers.      Revisions to PASS curriculum standards assessmented by teachers.      Revisions to PASS curriculum standards analytical analyti	ion:	Conduct a comparative analysis to establish Oklahoma's position in relation to national and other state standards. Ensure that standards drive the assessment, not vice versa. Provide professional development on PASS implementation.	Create Standards for reading and mathematics.	Establish a student assessment system that is comprehensive and tied to standards. It should have these characteristics:  Results should be shared
1999 Priority Academic Student Skills curriculum (PASS), originally established in 1993, has been revised to clarify and provide more specific content guidelines. It has been benchmarked against the following: national content standards NAEP framework Other state standards Recognized standards (MCREL/ASCD) Levels of performance have been established in writing, but.  1997 – (HB 2017) Reading Sufficiency Act requires district plans in multiple, on-going assessment in reading. Students in need of remediation are provided	The Action:			ass con con star
1999 Priority Academic Student Skills curriculum (PASS), originally established in 1993, has been revised to clarify and provide more specific content guidelines. It has been benchmarked against the following:  national content standards NAEP framework Other state standards Recognized standards (MCREL/ASCD)  Levels of performance have been established in writing, but.  1997 – (HB 2017) Reading Sufficiency Act requires district plans in multiple, on-going assessment in reading. Students in need of remediation are provided	ne Gap:	PASS curriculum standards need to be widely distributed and implemented by teachers. Revisions to PASS curriculum need to be tested.	Performance levels in reading and mathematics need to be established	Statewide testing does not require students to be tested in academic subject areas every year from grades 2-11 although many districts do monitor and test students
اعرا المسام	두	•	•	•
Develop high-quality standards for students linked to high-quality teaching standards.  What is known about student performance? Are state or local assessments linked to standards in core subject areas? How do students perform on NAEP compared to other achievement tests or like-kind performance assessments?	The Status:	Studen 1999	<ul><li>(McREL/ASCD)</li><li>Levels of performance have been established in writing, but.</li></ul>	

satisfactorily to receive their

driver's license.

Students must score

professional development Use multiple, ongoing Results should guide

projects, performances and assessment and involving more than paper & pencil other forms of authentic tests (i.e. checklists, assessment.

egislature by November 1, SDE propose criteria to

Conduct annual assessment to establish trend data. One proposal: نى

upon funding, to be field appropriate) Contingent tested in the 1999-2000 K-3 Reading & Math developmentally assessment

4-8 Language Arts, Math, Social Studies, Science school year.

instruction tests in English and U.S. History are to be instruction tests in Biology mplemented in the 2000-2001 school year. End-ofimplemented in the 2001 9-12 Standardized tests, End of course. End-ofand Algebra are to be 2002 school year

core subject areas using CRTs schools being required to offer "Satisfactory" performance in 1994 - Students tested for in grades 5,8, and 11 with remediation to students scoring less than "Satisfactory." Appropriate process to identify and assist low performing and high challenge schools.

EPAS material -81% and 77% of -97% of all OK students receive all students (public and private) -69% voluntary school district ACT's Educational Planning and Assessment System tested in grades 8 and 10 participation (public) respectively (EPAS) -

socioeconomic levels, <u>or</u> other Test data are not reported by groups needed by educators, gender, ethnicity, and policy makers, etc.

		ı	١
	٠	ŧ	

Test results would be reported by gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic levels, or other groupings as needed.  F. Establish a computerized, state-wide student record keeping system to facilitate the integration of student records in attendance, course taking, program participation, and assessment, regardless of mobility.	
No statewide database exists.	
	• 1995 (HB 1549) – Independent standards boards, Oklahoma Commission for Teacher Preparation (OCTP) was established.
	standard boards in every standard boards in every state.  How is the teaching profession governed in the state? Is there a professional standards board? Who selects its members? How is it composed? Does it have adequate authority and funding to accomplish its work effectively? What is the scope of the board's decision-making authority regarding teacher education accreditation, licensing, and professional development?

Revised, 09/28/99

3. Insist on professional accreditation for all schools of education.		
How does the state approve teacher education programs? Does the state require NCATE accreditation? Does it have an NCATE partnership? If so,	1992 – State Regents conducted external review of public teacher education programs and continues to monitor programs.	
what does it provide? How many schools are NCATE-accredited? How does the state review and approve schools that are not NCATE-accredited? How rigorous are the standards and review process?	• 1995 - HB 1549 revised teacher education accreditation standards to reflect national and state goals for improving teacher performance. NCATE, INTASC, and national learned societies are the basis for minimum standards. All schools of education accredited by OCTP use NCATE standards.	
<ul><li>4. Close inadequate schools of education.</li><li>How are standards enforced for teacher education? Who has authority? What is the process?</li></ul>	1995 (HB 1549) - All teacher education programs must meet new performance-based accreditation standards (NCATE standards minimum criteria) or lose state accreditation. State Regents have authority to close state teacher education programs.	·
5. License teachers based on		
NCTAF / OK Policy Group	Strategic Action Plan – revision	Revised, 09/28/99

σ
σ
=
ω
ū
~
ϫ.
0
0,
Х, 0
sed, 0
ised, 0
vised, 0
Revised, 0

demonstrated performance, including tests on subject matter, teaching knowledge, and teaching skill.		
Has the state adopted standards for performance-based licensing? Are these aligned with INTASC standards? Are assessments being used or developed/piloted based on these standards?	1995 (HB 1549) - Rigorous new assessment for new teachers consisting of general education, professional education and subject areas will be implemented September, 1999	
What are the education requirements for a teaching license? What are the requirements for subjectmatter knowledge? Is a degree required in the field to be taught? What are the requirements for pedagogical knowledge? How is this evaluated? What are the clinical requirements for	<ul> <li>State Regents established degree requirement of a major or equivalent in a subject for a secondary major and 12 credit hours in English, mathematics, social studies, and science for early childhood, elementary, and special education majors.</li> <li>OCTP Implemented a candidate portfolio requirement as a component</li> </ul>	
teachers demonstrate? What licensing examinations are currently in place? What are the cut-off scores? Who determines? How?	• Administrator assessment and over 1/2 of all initial assessment is performancebased with the goal of all subject areas having performance components.	
6. Use National Board standards as the		

9

NCTAF / OK Policy Group

	ġ
	₽.
	£
	ĕ
	ě
ξ	=
4	20
¥	ishe
ē	<u>:</u>
Ĕ	ם
三	Ε
ည	ō
<u>a</u>	8
Ă	ž

is there an advanced license for accomplished teaching? How is it acquired? Is it pegged to standards of accomplished practice?

related standards for teacher certification, and professional education through licensing, development from teacher is there a continuum of relicensing or advanced development? Is National Board Certification license, for re-certification, or for licensing upon entry from accepted for the advanced out of state?

evaluation processes reflect Do state and local teacher NBPTS standards?

1997 - Legislation established .997, 299 in 1998, and 400 in successful candidates. 100 in and \$5,000 yearly stipend for Certification. Expenses paid challenge to and support for the Education Leadership complete National Board Oklahoma teachers to Oklahoma (ELO) as a 1999 and beyond.

Education established policy to who hold national certification grant certification for those coming from out of state. 1995 - State Board of

See Section IV

not used in teacher evaluation National Board standards are teacher at each building site.

Do not have NBPTS certified

or professional development.

Strategic Action Plan - revision

NCTAF / OK Policy Group

2

local plans are designed and

presented.

eligible for professional development credit & how

## Reinvent Teacher Preparation and Professional Development Ħ.

The Indicators:	The Status:	The Gap:	The Action:
Organize teacher education and professional development around standards for students and teachers.			
Are student standards and/or teaching standards (e.g., NCATE, INTASC, NBPTS) used as a basis for design, approval, or investments in teacher education? In professional development programs?	<ul> <li>New teacher education accreditation standards are based on national standards.</li> </ul>		
What are the state's goals and strategies for supporting teachers' professional development?	<ul> <li>HB 1017 (1990) School Improvement Plans linked to professional development.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Professional development that is directly linked to professional standards of learned societies is not</li> </ul>	A. Share student assessment data with broader spectrum of groups (see Area I).
planning professional development?  Who is involved?	New North Central Association accreditation process ties professional development to	prevalent throughout the state.	B. Recommend that professional development be tied to results from professional standards of
	identify weaknesses in student learning and develop a plan of action that includes provisions for professional development.		student learning.  C. Review existing procedures & be more definitive on what is

Revised, 09/28/99	Plan – revision	Strategic Action Plan - revision	NCTAF / OK Policy Group
			3. Create and fund mentoring programs for beginning teachers that provide support and incorporate evaluation of teaching skills.
C. Funding for public higher education should equal <u>or exceed</u> average of contiguous states.		Public higher education institutions in Oklahoma received 68 percent of the average funding of their peer institutions.	Is teacher education adequately funded?
B. Prepare administrators and all interested parties in PDS concept and uses.	<ul> <li>Oklahoma public higher education is under-funded.</li> <li>Lack of research assessing the effectiveness and quality of these field experiences</li> </ul>	experience requirements for candidates prior to student teaching.  Modified forms of PDS exist at a few universities.	professional development school partnerships?
A. Examine NCATE's definition and standards for professional development school standards and establish funding for pilot PDSs.	<ul> <li>Professional development schools (PDS) not fully implemented.</li> <li>Credit hour caps limit program flexibility</li> </ul>	The University of Oklahoma has an extended program that includes graduate-level work.  All state teacher preparation programs have increased field	What are the characteristics of current teacher preparation programs? How many are 4-year programs? 5-year or 5 <sup>th</sup> -year programs? How many programs are developing
			2. Institute extended teacher preparation programs that provide a yearlong internship in a professional development school.
D. Encourage higher education/ public school partnerships on district professional development committees.			1

_	
_	7
•	_
_	
•	

IC			The second secon		
í	Does a statewide mentoring	•	1980 (HB 1706) - Oklahoma's	•	Funding
	program exist? Mentors		entry-year teacher assistance		provide t
	trained, compensated, offered		program (now called		for all res
	released time? Mentors and		residency-year) established a		committe
	new teachers matched by		committee including a mentor		
	field? Assessment and support		teacher, administrator, higher	•	Training
	offered? Using what		education faculty to support,		1
	standards?		guide and recommend.	•	Mentor to

Supervision, clinical support, What kinds of supports are available to new teachers? university and/or districts? seminars or courses from teaching responsibility? Reduced or graduated

evaluation during the induction and university faculty view the teachers, mentors, principals, standards? How do new What is the process for professional teaching year? Is it linked to induction system?

## sources of professional

state and local education funding for professional Allocate at least 1% of (up to 3% of total expenditures).

- sidency-year mentor training and support not yet available to ee members.
- not required.
- teacher stipend does not reflect responsibility.

1995 – Funds to begin mentor teacher training allocated.

- No evaluation of residencyyear process.
- Institute (PDI) mentor training as a requirement to serve on residency-year committee. **Professional Development** Consider establishing Ä
- process helps teachers make Evaluate how residency-year increases for residency-year committee.

Continue to explore funding

യ

process (increased stipends, Adequately fund mentoring transition to classroom. ض ا

release time, etc.).

- Create stable high-quality 4.
- matching funds to districts development and provide
- development.

23 73

NCTAF / OK Policy Group

Assess model of using clock hours to define professional

ż

Lack of systemic examination

of the 75-clock hours model.

development.

- teacher academies, schoolprofessional development Provide support for new university partnerships, sources of professional development such as schools, and teacher networks.
- to student (e.g., NCTM) and/or development standards keyed development standards linked Are there standards or state standards? Are professional to re-certification policies? professional development guidelines for the use of unds? Are professional teacher (e.g., NBPTS)
- What vehicles for professional teachers? Do teachers have access at no or low cost? development funds are currently available to

- professional development -- 75 Education established rules and regulations governing clock hours every 5 years. 1980 - State Board of
- Significant increases in funding for professional development 1995-99.
- Funding not at 1% level nor is these into supervised practice. resources to enable teachers adequate time, support, and to master new context and pedagogy and to integrate there sufficient funding to insure that professional development provides
- on experiences and (45) clock nours. These include reading Institutes that require handsmath/science, mentoring, & 1995 - HB 1549 established **Professional Development** iteracy, integrated technology.
- professional development Regional centers offer workshops.

Educational Developmental

Work with Southwest

-ab (SEDL) is inconsistent.

Centers have not yet been

nitiated.

**Professional Development** 

Education - Vo-tech -

OCTP - SDE - Higher

New partnerships among

teachers, principals, and policy UCO offers academies for nakers.

- evel; ensure that professional Increase funding to meet 1% development opportunities include provisions for ക്
- adequate time, etc.

- Initiate partnerships among all development offerings and maximize professional constituent groups to efforts. Ä
- Develop Teacher Forums.
- development schools with relationship among higher education (see above). education & common Develop professional ن

NCTAF / OK Policy Group

week plus 10 days per year teachers' daily work. Aim for at least 10 hours per development as part of development time for Embed professional n professional administrators. teachers and

development? To what extent time for individual, team, and Are there incentives or funds and learn together regularly? for schools to provide school means for teachers to work do schools/districts provide school-wide professional

To what extent do professional Encourage choices that reflect teachers meet special student rom all role groups together? practices reduce the isolation change efforts? Engage staff needs? Link opportunities to of teachers? Focus on highstudent work and teaching quality teaching of subject matter? Focus on helping development policies and meaningful content and effectiveness? Focus on the knowledge base on teaching and program performance?

deregulation provides districts restructure the school day. State Board of Education with opportunities to

opportunity to experience

part of weekly work.

All teachers do not have

teachers to try strategies in Professional Development Institute structure allows class and then return for follow up.

- professional development 10 nours per week plus 10 days Extend school calendar to per year with appropriate allow teachers to do compensation. an event rather than a process professional development as professional development as Current mindset still regards tied to student learning.
- Use current 5 professional development days more effectively; add 5 days. œ.

Empower teachers to provide

input to discretionary staff

development funds.

rather than administrators.

Greater focus on teachers

Educate instructional leaders on importance and process, professional development. development and what is etc. of professional

Is there a required process for planning professional development? At what level? Who is involved? How are priorities set? Who reviews? Is there any link between school plans and individual plans? Are there provisions for increasing time to meet special needs (poor performance, high mobility, new curricula)?

(L)

# III. Overhaul Teacher Recruitment and Put Qualified Teachers in Every Classroom

The Indicators:	The Status:	The Gap:	The Action:
1. Increase the ability of financially disadvantaged districts to pay for qualified teachers and insist that school districts hire only qualified teachers. (6. Address supply and demand.)	·		
What is the status of teacher supply and demand in the state? Are there districts with persistent shorffalls in applicants? What are local biring practices in these	Current school formula provides equity for state's 543 school districts; adequacy not addressed.	Oklahoma foundation program does not provide an adequate base level at per pupil revenues that is competitive with Oklahoma/national job	<ul><li>A. Meet or exceed the median per pupil expenditure of the 6 regional states (TX, MO, NM, CO, AR, KS) and maintain this position.</li></ul>
places?	<ul> <li>Experior traces per publicate to in the nation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Supplemental appropriations</li> <li>processes create inequities</li> </ul>	B. Increase funding equitably; investigate spreading any tax
What is the range of spending and salary differentials for districts across the state? Are there equalization strategies or	<ul> <li>A recent State Regents' S/D study found that a sufficient teaching force is trained in Oklahoma with shortages by</li> </ul>	across districts.	increases over both public & corporate sources.
other supports in place to ensure that all districts have the resources to hire qualified teachers? How do teaching salaries compare to those of benchmark occupations (e.g.,	discipline and geographic areas.  Oklahoma average yearly salary \$30,606 which is 45 <sup>th</sup> in the nation.	·	C. Study teachers' salaries in regards to retention in the state and in the field (include longitudinal study in alternative certification areas).
service)? What tools does the state use to enforce the hiring of	<ul> <li>Average teacher salary does not meet regional average (\$33,260) or national average (\$39,385).</li> </ul>		
NCTAF / OK Policy Groupy	Strategic Actio	Strategic Action Plan – revision	Revised, 09/28/99

2. Aggressively recruit highneed teachers and provide incentives for teaching in shortage areas. What programs are in place to recruit prospective teachers into the field of teaching? How effective are they? Are there scholarships or forgivable loans linked to several years of teaching in shortage fields or hard-to-staff locations? Are there programs to encourage middle and high school students to consider teaching as a career?

How is the state working with schools and colleges to expand pools of high-need teachers? (e.g., teachers of color, teachers in shortage fields) Do districts or the state provide incentives (e.g., stipends or pay incentives) for teachers to get licensed in additional subject areas and/or shortage areas? Are there state or district incentives to assign

State currently funds additional 5% for special education teachers.

High demand subject fields or

low-supply geographic areas still lack appropriate, quality

personnel.

- Districts have the freedom to include special considerations.
- The Minority Teacher
  Recruitment Center works with schools and higher education to offer Teacher Cadet programs in high schools and Pro Team Programs in middle schools. The Center also provides grants with IHE's to provide campus-based teacher recruitment programs.
- Future Teachers scholarship program for teacher candidates in shortage areas.

A. Consider scholarships, loan forgiveness, or tax credit policies to recruit teachers for high need subjects and locations, as determined by SDE (Must teach in identified areas 5 yrs.; areas of need reviewed 5 yrs. by SDE).

shortages still exist in special

education.

Despite 5% supplement,

B. Scholarships to encourage teachers to add certification in high need subjects through advanced degrees.

Scholarship program is under-

funded

- C. Pay for continuing education in shortage areas.
- D. Investigate "early intervention/ encouragement" to interest Jr. High & Sr. High students in high-need areas: i.e. EPAS, SREB, HS majors, workshops,

3

37

candidates seeking

certification

Have universities created high-

teaching)

quality routes into teaching at

post-baccalaureate level?

NCTAF / OK Policy Group

16 paraprofessionals (associate Fund competency review Expanded training and degree programs) opportunities for panel. Ä œ. Review training for alternative candidates. technical positions that include area of Oklahoma certification; Alternative certification system demonstration of subject-area available to place candidates in secondary and vocationalstudy that corresponds to an program has program plans Alternative candidates must and teaching competency. they must take a teaching have a major in a field of Each teacher preparation for post-baccalaureate methods course. pathways to teaching for a models in the state? Which of Candidates have adequate teach (equivalent major in Candidates have adequate and skill as other entrants supervised clinical training What is the range of program them meet quality standards? expert, experienced teachers subject matter grounding curriculum, and pedagogy at least 12-15 weeks of standards of knowledge Candidates meet same knowledge of learning, paraprofessionals, and wide range of recruits, for the fields they will Candidates have wellto high-need schools and including mid-career Develop high quality student teaching or child development, internship prior to military retirees the field) changers, students?

'n

38

~
•
_

Are there supported pathways complete their education and gain full certification? How or paraprofessionals to many served?

### Redesign and streamline district hiring. 4.

supporting teacher recruitment Help line? Are there means at through outreach? Job bank? Cooperative partnerships with assessing the supply of and How are recruitment efforts the state and local level to demand for new teachers? acilitate the hiring of well-Is there a data system for qualified teachers through connected to information about teacher supply and colleges and universities? Competitive early hiring? demand? Is the state electric databases?

### Eliminate barriers to teacher mobility. Ŋ.

to teacher mobility exist? Does What supports or impediments the state have reciprocal interstate licensing NCTAF / OK Policy Group

- electronic database is not yet Development of a statewide complete.
- appropriate financial data to make hiring decisions in the Districts do not have Spring.

qualified teacher candidates.

Connection program of 1)

Adequately fund State

ġ

Regents' Teacher Job

district vacancies and 2)

Investigate methods used in

ന്

other states to obtain funding information "early" to allow hiring decisions in timely ashion.

> site to facilitate job searches State Regents work on web

and listings.

Encourage school districts to hire earlier. ن

> accept years of experience and allow transfer of retirement State does not currently

Investigate streamlining OK

Ä

acceptance process to

facilitate transfer of

experienced teachers involved

n state to state transfer.

Investigate policies to accept

œ.

allow transfer of retirement years of experience and to

funds (look at a national

transfer policy).

- Forty-four states have signed reciprocity agreements with
- Strategic Action Plan revision

Revised, 09/28/99

18	
i	
	the state maintaining control of assessment and statutory requirements.
	the state mair of assessment requirements.
	agreements? Can teachers maintain pension benefits if they move among districts or from another state? Can incoming veterans receive full salary credit for education and experience?

The Indicators:	The Status:	The Gap:	The Action:
1. Develop a career continuum linked to assessments and compensation systems that reward knowledge and skills.			
Do any districts or the state link compensation to teachers' demonstrated knowledge and skill, e.g.: Licensing in more than one subject area? Successful completion of INTASC assessments? Advanced or NBPTS certification?  To what extent are professional development incentives and compensation linked to the development of accomplished teaching?  Is re-licensing required? How do requirements shape professional development choices? Are teachers encouraged to engage in performance assessment activities (e.g., INTASC, NBPTS) as a participants or the statement of activities (e.g., INTASC, NBPTS) as a participants or the statement of activities (e.g., INTASC, NBPTS).	<ul> <li>Oklahoma currently ranks 45<sup>th</sup> in average teacher salary.</li> <li>All districts have salary schedules that reward teachers for longevity and degrees.</li> <li>39 teachers earning NBPTS certification received \$5,000.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Funding to compensate competitively (with other states) teachers based on demonstrated knowledge and skill is inadequate.</li> <li>Funding to support <u>all</u> teachers who are interested in seeking national certification is not available.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. Secure adequate funding to compensate teachers.</li> <li>B. Establish incentives in the form of scholarships, loan forgiveness, tax credit policies or other non-salary methods for shortage areas. (see Area III)</li> <li>C. Fund extensions or other compensation (monetary, reduced load, etc.) of yearly &amp; daily contracts of "Lead" or "Master" teachers</li> <li>D. Set up statewide criteria for recognition of teaching skill between existing salary &amp; National Board certification. Monetarily reward those reaching the criteria.</li> </ul>

NCTAF / OK Policy Group

Revised, 09/28/99

teacher evaluation and criteria	evidence of teaching	knowledge and skill?

What kinds of professional roles can teachers and administrators fill while remaining in teaching? Peer coaching and mentoring? Curriculum assessment and development? Teacher education?

- 2. Remove incompetent teachers through peer assistance and review programs that provide necessary support and due process.
- What policies shape teacher evaluation? What is the nature of evaluation and accountability standards? Who evaluates? Are they qualified? Is there adequate preparation? Are there links to professional development?
- Are there effective means for identifying, assisting, and if necessary dismissing incompetent or harmful teachers? What supports are provided for struggling teachers (peer coaching,
- Pilot peer assistance and review programs are being discussed and developed.

   Education stakeholders are not allowed to determine the best process for ensuring that there is a quality teacher in every
  - Oklahoma State law has expanded the reasons for non-renewal or termination to include instructional ineffectiveness.

instruments and procedures

Teacher evaluation

classroom.

are not aligned with other components of Oklahoma educational reform efforts.

1985 (HB 1466) - Education Improvement Act states each board of education shall maintain an evaluation system (subject to negotiation).

- ot A. Establish peer assistance and the release time for peer review.
- B. Investigate ways to modify process for reviewing probationary teachers.
- C. Revise and create evaluation instruments and processes to reflect use of standards and possession of competencies.
- D. Improve teacher evaluation process.

45

ntensive assistance, other)? follows if improvement does What accountability process not occur? Does it work?

"Minimum Criteria for Effective

Feaching; based on 1980's

model.

All evaluators are trained in

incentives for National **Board Certification in** Set goals and enact every district.

m

(Fee ocal levels? Links to licensing? Is certification considered for Certification at the state and principal, teacher educator, rewards and/or bonuses? support? Adjustments in achieving National Board What incentives exist for promotion or new roles? Support for professional development? Financial Lead teacher, mentor, compensation?)

Has the state set goals for Board Certification? How many teachers are Board certified?

incorporating NBPTS standards into professional standards Are there plans for and assessments?

candidates, Oklahoma falls With 39 nationally certified short of the NCTAF

applications and incentives for

ELO program provides state

support for candidates'

successful candidates. Local

districts can provide additional ncentives and support either

on their own or through

collective bargaining.

certified teacher in each school minimum of one nationally recommendation of a (1,824 sites).

Initiate discussion regarding Ä

the opportunity the chance to Offer any teacher who wants achieve National Board certification. ക്

Include geographic preference criteria for NBPTS candidates, meeting the objective of one certified teacher in each building. ن

The Indicators: The Status: The Gan:	The Status:	The Gan:	The Action:
1. Flatten hierarchies and			
reallocate resources to invest more in teachers and technology and less in			
non-teaching personnel.	Composition of the composition o		
How are resources allocated to	implemented the site-based	<ul> <li>rar too little resources are spent in the classroom.</li> </ul>	A. Ulter incentives for district consolidation.
schools and within schools?	decision making process for		
goes to instruction? What is	niring decisions and allocation of resources.		B. Eliminate inconsistencies in non-formula resource
the allocation of staff by type			allocation.
investments in technology			
have been made?	teaching stail to hor-teaching staff when compared to national standards.		<ul> <li>L. Initiate statewide student data system and marketing plan for parent/oublic awareness.</li> </ul>
Are there supports and			-
incentives for schools to rethink time, staffing, and uses	<ul> <li>Oklahoma has 543 school districts.</li> </ul>		D. Create methods to inform the
of technology so that teachers			take time, perhaps as long as
have more time to work with			ten years.
one another and work with students for more extended			F Establish continuous review
periods of time?			
			improvement and
Are there supports for parents			achievement.
children's schools?			F. Establish school improvement
			instructional teams.
			G. Expand the cost accounting
			system in order to provide

•	۲	7	
		J	

### Provide venture capital in grants that will promote learning linked to school improvement and will reward effective team the form of challenge 7

efforts.

A few grants are available through partnerships with

businesses.

improvement? How do these identify and work on areas of incentives for schools to organizational learning? Are there state or local support individual and

- districts and limited in amount Funding is limited to specific resulting in no significant mpact.
- Funding often based on free & igures. Middle class districts reduced lunch/poverty level nave fewer opportunities.

A. Investigate joint ventures with

businesses to bring in added

monies.

- Rural schools limited in business partnerships.

Establish stable money

œ.

resources.

- Establish grant assistance cooperatives to help rural schools. ن
- development to assist them in that administrators receive eading high performance schools is not available. sustained professional New principals need a

criteria for effective teaching

SDE requires training in

Select, prepare, and retain principals who understand

'n

teaching and learning and

Adequate funding to ensure

- mentoring program.
- Require training for principals that include opportunities for mentoring and peer Ä

## Strategic Action Plan - revision

Salary inequities

sponsors Great Expectation

raining for principals.

Do preparation and licensing

NCTAF / OK Policy Group

superintendents. The SDE

performance. As well as

and administrative

training for 1st year

and selected? Is preparation

keyed to professional

standards?

How are principals prepared

performing schools.

who can lead high-

ころ

~ ~	1		_	_												
	assistance.		B. Expand principal preparation	as educational leaders		C. Establish salaries that are	comparable with national	Standards and that reflect	levels of responsibility.							
		Compression (salary schedule)	•													
		•			,							_				
		<ul> <li>CCOSSA provides early</li> </ul>	assistance to new and	beginning administrators such	as the New Principal Training	Workshop and Aspiring	Superintendents Workshop.		<ul> <li>OCTP has developed new</li> </ul>	performance-based	assessment for new principals.		<ul> <li>Principals Leadership Academy</li> </ul>	offered to experienced	administrators.	
	ing	_		ڻ	3d?	_					Si		<u>g</u>		als	
	include knowledge of teaching	and learning, and of how to	lead collaborative learning	organizations? Is licensing for	principals performance-based?	Are principals recruited from	the ranks of highly skilled	teachers? Does school	organization allow for	principals to teach at least	part-time? How are principals	supported in ongoing	professional development and	problem solving with other	principals? How are principals	evaluated and rewarded?
ERIC AFull Text Provided by B	ERIC						_									

ERIC Full fixed Provided by ERIC

:U



### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



### REPRODUCTION RELEASE

	(Specific Document)	
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATI	ON:	
Title: a Strategie action	plan for building or	Klahomas futuro
Author(s):		0
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:
II. REPRODUCTION RELEAS		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system and electronic media, and sold through the reproduction release is granted, one of the fo	sible timely and significant materials of interest to the edu, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made availal ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit ollowing notices is affixed to the document.	ble to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy is given to the source of each document, and,
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
sample		sample
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1	2A	2B
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
Do If permission	cuments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality p to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proc	permits. Dessed at Level 1.
as indicated above. Reproduction contractors requires permission fro	Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permis in from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by perso im the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit re ucators in response to discrete inquiries.	ons other than ERIC employees and its system

Telephone:

E-Mail Address:

FAX:

Date:

### III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, *or*, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:		
Address:		
	•	
Price:		
IV PEEERRAL OF EI	RIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUC	CTION RIGHTS HO! DER:
	ion release is held by someone other than the add	
Name:		
A 11	•	
Address:		
V. WHERE TO SEND	THIS FORM:	
Send this form to the following EF	tIC Clearinghouse:	
	<u>.</u> .	

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 4483-A Forbes Boulevard Lanham, Maryland 20706

> Telephone: 301-552-4200 Toll Free: 800-799-3742 FAX: 301-552-4700

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

